RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD

FORT McCLELLAN, ALABAMA

* * * * * * * *

Taken before KELLI K. LOWERY, a Court

Reporter and Commissioner for Alabama at Large, at

Building 215, Fort McClellan, Alabama, on the 21st day

of April 2008, commencing at approximately 5:00 p.m.

NOBLE & ASSOCIATES

1	REPORTER'S INDEX
2	
3	CAPTION SHEET 1
4	REPORTER'S INDEX 2
5	RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD 3 - 75
6	CERTIFICATE
7	00-
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	DR. BARRY COX: Ladies and
2	gentlemen, are we ready to get started?
3	Mr. Bolton?
4	SCOTT BOLTON: Here.
5	DR. BARRY COX: Ms. Bragg?
6	Mr. Buford? Mr. Burgett?
7	PHILLIP BURGETT: Here.
8	DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Clendenin?
9	MONTY CLENDENIN: Here.
10	DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Elser?
11	BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: He's excused
12	along with Cheryl Bragg.
13	DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Hall?
14	Dr. Harrington?
15	DR. MARY HARRINGTON: She's here.
16	DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Howard?
17	GENE HOWARD: Here.
18	DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Kimberly.
19	DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: Here.
20	DR. BARRY COX: Dr. Spain?
21	DR. JOHN SPAIN: Here.
22	DR. BARRY COX: Dr. Steffy?
23	DR. DAVID STEFFY: Here.

1	DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Wilson?
2	PENN WILSON: Here.
3	DR. BARRY COX: Michelle Klomp?
4	MICHELLE KLOMP: Here.
5	DR. BARRY COX: Mr. Brittain?
6	Ms. Little?
7	BRANDI LITTLE: Here.
8	DR. BARRY COX: At this time, we'll
9	start around the room and introduce all of the
10	guests/visitors.
11	LISA HOLSTEIN: Lisa Holstein, Fort
12	McClellan Transition Force.
13	BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: I need to state
14	for the record that Mr. Jackson and Mr. Kimbrough and
15	Mr. Jim Miller are all excused also, and I'm
16	Brenda Cunningham with Fort McClellan Transition
17	Force.
18	MIKI SCHNEIDER: Miki Schneider,
19	JPA.
20	MIKE MONTALIO: Mike Montalio,

21 GeoSyntec Consultants.

Environmental Services.

22

23

P.O. BOX 544
OHATCHEE, AL 36271
256-892-0591
FAX 256-892-3001

STEVE YOUNG: Steve Young, Matrix

1	RON GRANT: Ron Grant, interested
2	citizen.
3	KAREN PINSON: Karen Pinson, Fort
4	McClellan National Guard Training Center.
5	DR. BARRY COX: We appreciate y'all
6	coming.
7	Has everybody had a chance to carouse
8	the minutes of the last meeting? Does anybody have
9	any additions or corrections or deletions?
10	PENN WILSON: I move the minutes be
11	approved.
12	DR. MARY HARRINGTON: I second.
13	DR. BARRY COX: All in favor? All
14	opposed, same sign? Motion carried.
15	Old business. TAPP contract.
16	SCOTT BOLTON: That's ours. That's
17	Army.
18	Actually the funding, we have funding

19

20

21

22

23

P.O. BOX 544 OHATCHEE, AL 36271 256-892-0591 FAX 256-892-3001

We'll set up the period of performance.

for it. I believe it was a week or two ago we got

notified that funding had been sent down to Mobile

District Core, so they will get their contracting

people going on that.

1	We'll	probably	set	it	up	as	something	to	be	close	to
---	-------	----------	-----	----	----	----	-----------	----	----	-------	----

- 2 calendar year or something along those lines so we
- don't run into crunches on fiscal years again. It's
- 4 still in the works.
- It took a while to get the funding, but
- 6 like I said, we got the notice either last week or the
- 7 week before, that that was one of the requests that we
- 8 had that had been approved. Whether it's a FAD or a
- 9 WAD or whatever the latest funding authorization
- 10 documents was sent down there. So it's interesting to
- 11 see what the latest term is.
- 12 It was there. What we got was a note
- 13 that said, it's in this pile, and you guys figure it
- out where it is, but we've got verification from
- 15 Mobile District Core that they got it, and they know
- 16 what it's for.
- DR. BARRY COX: Anybody have any
- 18 questions?
- 19 Okay. I understand we need one new RAB
- member.
- 21 BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: Yes, because
- 22 Mr. Franklin passed away in January. The RAB needs
- one more member now to take his place, so we'll have

4	1 🗆	~			3					
1	1.7	SO	1 T	VO11	know	anvone.	send	an	application	or
-	- / •	\sim		,	3 2 2 2 2 0 0 0	arry orre ,	DCIIG	OLI I	appricacion	O ±

- 2 send them.
- 3 DR. BARRY COX: Anybody have any
- 4 thoughts on that?
- DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Have we
- 6 exhausted every possible one we did have?
- 7 BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: Yes, ma'am.
- 8 They're sitting to your right.
- 9 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Well, I can
- 10 hope.
- 11 DR. BARRY COX: Anybody else have
- 12 any thoughts?
- 13 DR. JOHN SPAIN: These new members
- should come from the County, the City? Are there any
- 15 kind of restrictions?
- DR. BARRY COX: One of the things is
- "stakeholders", somebody that's interested, an
- interested party.
- 19 Any thoughts? I think we'll put
- 20 everybody together as a recruiting committee.
- 21 MIKI SCHNEIDER: What about some of
- the businesses that are out here, somebody from Ampro
- or somebody from Howard Core? They certainly have a

1 stake in	this	property.
------------	------	-----------

- DR. BARRY COX: Anybody else? Would
- 3 you like Brenda -- to add responsibility to someone
- 4 else -- would you like for her to contact the
- 5 businesses to see if they would like to have a
- 6 representative? Would that be something the Board
- 7 would like to do?
- 8 PENN WILSON: I believe that would
- 9 be worthwhile if Brenda could work it into her
- 10 schedule?
- 11 DR. BARRY COX: Would you have any
- 12 objections?
- 13 BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: No, sir.
- DR. BARRY COX: Okay. Do you want
- to make that in the form of a motion then?
- 16 PENN WILSON: Yeah, I make a motion
- 17 to that effect.
- 18 DR. BARRY COX: That we contact the
- 19 local businesses --
- 20 PENN WILSON: We contact local
- businesses on McClellan and/or Fort McClellan. I
- guess there's two different entities out here.
- DR. BARRY COX: Do we have a second?

1	DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Second.
2	DR. BARRY COX: All in favor, say I.
3	All oppose, same sign. Motion carried.
4	Program, I see we do not have a program
5	tonight. So I guess we can go straight into the
6	agency reports. We'll start with ADEM.
7	DR. MARY HARRINGTON: I have a
8	question before we go. Do we know what happened with
9	our invitation to Anniston City Council, because we
10	did send that letter; right?
11	BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: Yes, ma'am.
12	They got the letters that Dr. Spain had written, and I
13	did send the letters to them. I can't think of the
14	guy's name. Steve
15	DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Stan Bennett?
16	BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: Yes. I did not
17	hear from them. I also sent them an announcement of
18	the meetings so that they would know when it is, and
19	we didn't hear any response.
20	DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Okay.
21	DR. JOHN SPAIN: Well, Dr. Cox, you
22	are involved in the RAB program at the Depot; right?
23	DR. BARRY COX: Yes.

1	DR. JOHN SPAIN: And it's very
2	active participation by the isn't there a
3	commissioner that's very active?
4	DR. BARRY COX: Eli is on it.
5	DR. JOHN SPAIN: Does he attend
6	regularly or
7	DR. BARRY COX: The situation there
8	is you have a representative, for example, like, the
9	water works or the Commissioner, and they're allowed
10	to send a representative that can vote.
11	DR. JOHN SPAIN: Okay.
12	DR. BARRY COX: But, yes, you
13	normally have if they can't come, they normally
14	send somebody.
15	DR. JOHN SPAIN: Well, that was the
16	background behind trying to get someone from the city
17	council to sit on our meetings, but I'm not sure that
18	it's going to work. Should we invite someone else?
19	Should we invite someone from the public works?
20	DR. BARRY COX: We can go back down
21	the list of what the water works, of course, is
22	representing.
23	DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Yes,

1	Mr. Miller.
2	SCOTT BOLTON: And Phillip.
3	DR. JOHN SPAIN: Well, I was
4	concerned that some of the enthusiasm about the RAB
5	itself was weighting, and perhaps participation on
6	behalf of the City would give us a new shot.
7	Anybody else have any comments about
8	that?
9	DR. MARY HARRINGTON: No, sir.
10	DR. BARRY COX: Okay. Anybody else?
11	PENN WILSON: I guess it's related
12	to the City. I'm just sitting here thinking. You
13	mentioned, Dr. Cox, "stakeholders". I'm thinking of
14	someone in the City with regards to parks and
15	recreation or something like that. There's lots of
16	potential things in the way of recreation out here,
17	and I guess I'm still just pursuing the City idea like
18	Dr. Spain, but perhaps someone in the parks and
19	recreation
20	DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Unfortunately,
21	you have a lot of potential out here, but it's removed
22	from the people that needs to serve, and I know that's
23	another subject for another time, and I won't venture

1	into that, but all this stuff doesn't live up to the
2	potential because it's so difficult for the people to
3	get to during the times that they need to get here,
4	and particularly if anybody's working. But like I
5	said, that's another subject for another time.
6	Go on, Dr. Cox.
7	DR. BARRY COX: Anybody else? If
8	not, we'll go into the agency reports and start with
9	ADEM.
10	BRANDI LITTLE: Okay.
11	DR. JOHN SPAIN: I did have one
12	other thing. With respect to the programs, we had
13	talked at one time about trying to have a program.
14	For me, I certainly would like to see a short program
15	at every meeting if we could arrange that, and I don't
16	know how to go about that. You know, someone to
17	present for 20, 25 minutes some particular more
18	indepth presentation with respect to the issues that
19	we're dealing with.
20	SCOTT BOLTON: In that regard, I
21	would suggest a couple of things. We would have got

I would suggest to the people that have

down there anyway, so now's as good a point as any.

22

23

1	RAB members and visitors that have suggestions for
2	programs, why don't you e-mail them to Brenda or
3	myself, and we can try to coordinate putting some
4	things together. I think that's one of the critical
5	factors here, and I think it would help the group as a
6	whole too if we could have programs that were of
7	interest of the group as opposed to the Army interest
8	or the JPA interest or something. You know, bring us
9	something and say, here, here's you a program.
10	I realize it's the nature of the beast
11	to some extent that a lot of times on technical issues
12	or specific details and so on it does have someone
13	from a specific agency to represent, but if we had
14	some idea as to what things of interest or what things
15	that the RAB wants to hear about that are within the
16	interest of the RAB, we could do that.
17	One of my suggestions would be and
18	I'd leave it to up the members here we may want to,
19	some time in the near future, since we've had a lot of
20	turnover in personnel, we might want I guess there
21	was an old RAB 101 kind of briefing and so on that's
22	out, and we could probably do something along those
23	lines too that would help, I think, that would kind of

- of the areas and so on.
- 3 DR. JOHN SPAIN: That would be very
- 4 good.
- 5 SCOTT BOLTON: So if you want to do
- 6 something along those lines, we could certainly set
- 7 that up, and then any other items that are the
- 8 interest of the RAB, that's kind of what we need.
- 9 That's difficult to do, but I think
- 10 you'll find that once we get that kind of input going,
- it tends to build on itself. That's been my
- 12 experience in Tennessee, as well.
- 13 Yes, sir.
- 14 GENE HOWARD: That would be
- 15 important, but also the scope of the function of the
- 16 Board, and it's relationship to the development. You
- 17 know, I want to know that.
- 18 SCOTT BOLTON: You're talking about
- 19 the RAB 101?
- 20 GENE HOWARD: And I'm sure it's
- 21 quite an easy explanation, a one-paragraph statement,
- 22 but our function as far as the development,
- redevelopment, the process, the vision process, the

1	vision concept of how we should function so that I
2	would know how we're thinking on that. You guys know;
3	I don't.
4	SCOTT BOLTON: Well, you want that
5	at the next meeting? We control that, so we can set
6	that up.
7	DR. JOHN SPAIN: That would be good.
8	I think anything that we could do that would educate
9	us would put us in a better position as to being able
10	to function on this Board and to do it more
11	effectively would be good. And if you have those
12	training sessions set up maybe two or three in advance
13	so that we didn't lose the opportunity because we only
14	get together four times a year, so there's not a lot
15	of opportunity for training and development. I think
16	it would be great if we could have something at every
17	single meeting.
18	DR. BARRY COX: It seems to me one
19	of the things that would be important, at least define

-- as far as environmental clean up goes now -- what

is the Army's role, and what is the JPA's role, what

things we used to look at was what's coming next, what

does the Army have left to do. Because one of the

20

21

22

23

1	do you guys have in the pipeline that's coming down.
2	One of the things we did here
3	particularly with the Anniston Army Depot, when they
4	had something come down the pipe, they would ask the
5	RAB's opinion prior to something happening. They'd
6	say, here's what we're planning on doing. What do you
7	guys think? So that would be one thing that I would
8	suggest. Let's define the role of what's being done
9	and who's doing it.
10	DR. JOHN SPAIN: I think that sets
11	up two good meetings right there. You could start
12	thinking about No. 3.
13	SCOTT BOLTON: Okay.
14	DR. JOHN SPAIN: In connection with
15	them, would anybody be opposed, if we had something
16	very good, to extending the meeting 15 or 20 minutes?
17	If someone came in and wanted to make a 35 or
18	40-minute presentation, is there anybody opposed to
19	hearing that presentation?
20	DR. BARRY COX: In the past, we met
21	on a monthly basis, and by no means held it to one
	hour. We were probably at meetings that lasted two to

two and a half. So, I mean, there's no hour time

23

17

1				1 7 7			1.	7	1.7.	D 7 D	
1	limit.	T.O	mν	knowledge	as	T.O	now	lona	the	RAB	meetings

- 2 last.
- 3 SCOTT BOLTON: I don't think that's
- 4 a constraint. I mean, you'll find different ones meet
- 5 at different times. In Tennessee, for example, we
- 6 don't start until 6:30, so normally, we're scheduled
- 7 from 6:30 to 8:00, and we do try to kind of hold to it
- 8 but --
- 9 DR. BARRY COX: We used to start
- 10 later. One thing I might ask is if we could get
- 11 Brenda to e-mail the RAB regulations to everybody.
- 12 It's fairly specific, but not ours but --
- 13 BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: The bylaws?
- DR. BARRY COX: No. The way the
- 15 Army is set up.
- BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: Sure, I can do
- 17 that.
- 18 DR. BARRY COX: So we could have
- 19 that ahead of time. Something that says here's what
- 20 the Army says the RAB is supposed to do. It's very
- 21 specific if I recall. I haven't read it in quite
- 22 sometime, but it's fairly specific.
- DR. JOHN SPAIN: It's been redone

1			4-14	and the second
1	LWO	or	three	times.

- 2 MIKI SCHNEIDER: It's changed with
- 3 the '05 BRAC.
- 4 PENN WILSON: I think one possible
- 5 presentation, if you want to call it that, we've
- 6 expressed interest in having the City of Anniston show
- 7 up at these meetings, things like that. They haven't
- 8 really done that yet, perhaps they could come and give
- 9 a presentation on what the City of Anniston's vision
- is for the use of this out here.
- DR. MARY HARRINGTON: That would be
- 12 good.
- 13 PENN WILSON: We could maybe deliver
- 14 an invitation. This would be maybe to the city
- 15 manager or whatever, you know, and if they don't have
- a vision for it, maybe if we put them on the spot,
- 17 they may scratch out some ideas.
- 18 I ask sometimes about Riley Lake and
- 19 trails, things like this out in the woods and how you
- 20 could utilize this, and I know there's some athletic
- 21 facilities, and there's gyms here, you know, Truman
- 22 Gym that I used to use years ago when I was stationed
- 23 here, but it would seem like that would be a

1 presentation	that	would	be	worth	while.
----------------	------	-------	----	-------	--------

- 2 SCOTT BOLTON: Well, we might could
- give an update on the status of some of the property,
- 4 and that kind of stuff because I guess -- given the
- turnover we've had on the RAB, there's probably some
- 6 question as to whose role is what out here, and a lot
- 7 of that defines that.
- 8 I kind of chuckle to myself. The City's
- 9 vision is probably to tax Miki's vision as it
- develops.
- 11 But in all seriousness, I think that's a
- 12 legitimate point to bring out, what's what out here,
- 13 because a lot of people do see the facilities and
- 14 different activities that go on and --
- DR. DAVID STEFFY: Didn't JPA put
- out a contract to Auburn University to come out with
- 17 various visions for the site?
- 18 MIKI SCHNEIDER: No.
- DR. BARRY COX: As soon as we
- 20 finish this, Miki wants the floor, but my
- 21 understanding is that there's a very narrow focus of
- 22 the RAB, and it's to deal with environmental issues.
- 23 That's the focus of the Restoration Advisory Board.

4						1
1	It's	primarily	with	environmental	concerns	vou have

- 2 SCOTT BOLTON: And to serve as kind
- of a vehicle for the Army and for others, in this
- 4 case, JPA, because of the ESCA relationship, to serve
- 5 as a public participation and information, both ways.
- DR. BARRY COX: That will help.
- 7 After we do RAB 101, I think that would help clarify
- 8 what the role of the RAB is.
- 9 And Miki asked if she could have the
- 10 floor for just a couple of minutes.
- 11 MIKI SCHNEIDER: Let me see if I can
- 12 answer some of these questions very quickly.
- DR. BARRY COX: Since we're off
- 14 topic --
- 15 MIKI SCHEIDER: I'm sorry, Brandi.
- SCOTT BOLTON: Let's hear her real
- 17 quick first.
- BRANDI LITTLE: Oh, that's fine.
- 19 SCOTT BOLTON: I figured John was
- 20 either covering for you or trying to throw you off of
- 21 your game by asking a question.
- 22 MIKI SCHNEIDER: I'm Miki Schneider
- for those of you who do not know me. I'm director of

1	planning with the JPA at McClellan.
2	The RAB's focus and your charge is to be
3	the conduit for the public, to address the
4	environmental issues for the property that is under
5	the Army's purse.
6	Up until two years ago, a lot of the
7	property out here was under your purview, and the
8	property was transferred. It was divided into four
9	entities; Alfa, Bravo, and Charlie, and the Main
10	Cantonment Area. All the property was transferred
11	with the exception of Charlie in green to the JPA, and
12	the JPA has everything else except for those pieces
13	that we have sold.
14	This body's direct responsibility is for
15	Charlie and for the environment issues that are left
16	with Charlie.
17	In our ESCA agreement with the Army, we
18	agreed that as necessary, if the RAB wanted to know
19	what was going on with your site-specific
20	environmental clean-up issues, we would come to the
21	meetings and provide you information.

manager for Matrix who works for JPA, has been doing

Michelle Klomp, she's the program

22

23

1	that. She's been coming to the meetings and giving
2	you updates on all of the sites that we are
3	responsible for cleaning up, but your role as far as
4	those sites, there's not a connection between this
5	entity and the JPA.
6	Now, there's nothing sir, about
7	what's going from a planning standpoint out here under
8	redevelopment, you can certainly request me to come at
9	any time to your meetings and give you an update on
10	what we're doing. The other forum for that is the JPA
11	board meetings or the marketing committee meetings
12	where we go through all of what's going on and who
13	we're selling property to.
14	The other forum that we have is the
15	program management committee. It meets once a month
16	in our office building at 8:00 o'clock on Monday
17	morning, the third Monday of the month, and that's
18	where we go over every environmental site. Our
19	meetings usually last two or three hours.
20	Dr. Harrington kind of reminds me of the
21	way the RAB used to be here when we would sit here
22	until 10:00 o'clock at night, but we will go through
23	each site and discuss what we're doing, the money

- 1 we're spending, how we're setting it up, and that's,
- again, tied directly to reuse and how that property is
- going to be reused. You're all invited to those
- 4 meetings.
- 5 And then part of the ESCA, our agreement
- 6 with the Army, we are required to have a public
- 7 meeting every year. The last public meeting we had --
- 8 I think we had four people attend. We've invited --
- 9 the RAB was invited, and we're scheduling one now for
- 10 this year. It's in June.
- 11 BRANDI LITTLE: May 19th.
- MIKI SCHNEIDER: May 19th. We're
- 13 going to be having all of the businesses and the
- residences, and it'll be in the paper, but everybody
- is invited to attend that too.
- But JPA's role in this RAB is strictly
- 17 to be here as necessary to answer any questions that
- 18 you might have in Alfa and Bravo. Your role to the
- 19 Army is Charlie and what they're doing with the clean
- 20 up there.
- 21 Can I answer any questions from my
- 22 standpoint? Scott can talk about Charlie.
- 23 MONTY CLENDENIN: Can this committee

24

1		2 1-	_		# 1a - # 1					T	76
1	qo	witn	a	group	tnat's	qoinq	τo	qo	τo	ron	Mountain

- 2 Road and back in their drive by?
- 3 MIKI SCHNEIDER: That was this
- 4 morning, but anyone who wants to see what we're doing,
- if you are interested, pick up the phone and call me,
- 6 and I will arrange a tour for you.
- 7 SCOTT BOLTON: The other thing, if
- 8 you want to do it as a body, let us know. We'll
- 9 coordinate with Miki, and we'll work something out.
- 10 The good news is: It stays light now. We're at that
- 11 time of year.
- 12 MIKI SCHNEIDER: And we're in the
- field until about 6:00 o'clock, so it would have to be
- 14 at the end of this meeting or on Friday afternoon.
- DR. JOHN SPAIN: Have you got a
- 16 vehicle that you could --
- 17 MIKI SCHNEIDER: We have enough
- 18 vehicles that we could do a convoy.
- 19 SCOTT BOLTON: We may can find a
- 20 way.
- 21 MIKI SCHNEIDER: We may can get in
- 22 pick-up trucks and put your boots on, but, you know,
- 23 we can do it.

1	SCOTT BOLTON: Sometimes, we can
2	beg, borrow, and steal other vehicles and stuff.
3	MONTY CLENDENIN: I would love to
4	see Landfill 3. I've seen it from the highway and all
5	of the trucks going in. I can to see the cap from the
6	road through the trees, but I'd like to really see
7	what that
8	MIKI SCHNEIDER: What we have done
9	out there?
10	MONTY CLENDENIN: Right.
11	MIKI SCHNEIDER: We would be glad to
12	arrange that and make that happen.
13	Does that help explain?
14	PENN WILSON: Very good for the new
15	people, and it's refreshing to me, giving an update.
16	What's going on with Riley Lake?
17	MIKI SCHNEIDER: Riley Lake is up in
18	the northern boundary of the property. We've just
19	finished putting a cap on the two fill areas adjacent
20	to Riley Lake. Landfill 3, we have just finished a
21	cap on Landfill 3. We're now addressing the
22	groundwater contamination that goes from that landfill
23	under the road, and we have just put a walking path

1	and jogging path on top of the fill area northwest of
2	Riley, and we're in discussions with the City right
3	now to open that to the public sometime this summer.
4	And Riley Lake itself has been tested.
5	We jokingly call it bassomatic. We went in, and we
6	got some of the fish, and we tested some of the fish,
7	and we made sure everything was okay, and they were.
8	We're also in negotiations with the City
9	to open Riley Lake as well when we open the walking
10	trail up here so that people can have access to that.
11	MONTY CLENDENIN: Does it still have
12	camping pads?
13	MIKI SCHNEIDER: No, sir, it does
14	not. They're there, but they're in very poor
15	condition, and the septic system and all that that was
16	up there is not in good shape.
17	Right now, we're just trying to address
18	some of our council members' concerns, which has been
19	to specifically get Riley Lake open for people to fish
20	and enjoy picnicking and playground, that type of
21	thing.
22	DR. BARRY COX: Has there been any

23 talk or discussion or thought of having a RAB-type

1	oversight group for the JPA efforts?
2	MIKI SCHNEIDER: The program
3	management committee that we have serve that role. It
4	is made up of citizens and only one JPA board member.
5	DR. BARRY COX: Okay. So if
6	somebody had an interest in that, then they should
7	seek possible membership of that group?
8	MIKI SCHNEIDER: I would encourage
9	to someone, if you do, that you talk to Mayor Howell.
10	He's chairman of that committee.
11	DR. BARRY COX: This brings up
12	another thing that I think we need to consider. Now
13	that we're down to simply the Charlie Area, at what
14	time do we decide that this entity is no longer
15	needed?
16	SCOTT BOLTON: Actually, that's
17	going to be governed by some of the guidance that will
18	come out. There's very specific procedures about how
19	and when you disband the RAB.
20	DR. BARRY COX: So if the members
21	decided they wanted to disband
22	SCOTT BOLTON: No one can force you
23	to come.

1	DR. BARRY COX: Right. So if the
2	group decides that they no longer serve in the role,
3	then they can just decide to disband if they want to?
4	MIKI SCHNEIDER: And the only thing
5	I would say to that, Dr. Cox, is strictly I'm
6	obviously very concerned about Charlie and what
7	happens out here. I certainly think that there is a
8	role for this body when it comes to Charlie. Now,
9	what the and Scott will have to answer this what
10	the funding status is on Charlie, and how they are
11	working with Fish & Wildlife as far as proceeding with
12	clean up, I don't know the answer to that.
13	But certainly, your involvement in what
14	happens in this 10,000 acres of land out here as far
15	as clean up and the safety of the public, I would
16	think is something you would want to continue.
17	DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: Well, shouldn't
18	there always be a relationship between Alfa, Bravo,
19	and Charlie in terms of even though you're responsible
20	for them, sooner or later when Charlie is cleared,
21	there's still going to be a relationship, a
22	synergistic relationship, between the other two areas
23	as well? If you're going to develop it, you certainly

-			- 1		1.1.	1.1.	1.				1.0	
1	want	ĽΟ	make	sure	tnat	tnose	wno	are	aeve.	loping	l C	out

- 2 here don't go hog wild over in Charlie because they
- just feel like there's nobody out here looking.
- 4 MIKI SCHNEIDER: Charlie will not be
- 5 developed. Charlie --
- 6 DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: But there will
- 7 be development on the borders of Charlie. It seems to
- 8 me there will always be a relationship.
- 9 MIKI SCHNEIDER: I couldn't agree
- 10 with you more, and that's why I would love to see this
- 11 body have public involvement and stay --
- 12 DR. MIKE KIMBERLY: And for those
- 13 who own homes out here, I would certainly say --
- 14 MONTY CLENDENIN: Is the Charlie
- 15 Area a buffer between everything else and the Mountain
- 16 Long Leaf area?
- 17 MIKI SCHNEIDER: That is Mountain
- 18 Long Leaf.
- 19 SCOTT BOLTON: That is. The refuge
- 20 itself is about 10,000 acres. The way the refuge got
- 21 split up was the Army had about 7600 acres to give
- them in addition. JPA gave them another 2300 acres,
- 23 so that's what took them up to --

1	MONTY CLENDENIN: Is that a clean
2	representation of the whole
3	SCOTT BOLTON: No.
4	MIKI SCHNEIDER: Charlie.
5	SCOTT BOLTON: Is representative of
6	the Charlie, what we would say, EE/CA area, which is
7	going to be the munitions response area, if you will,
8	about 630 acres. A bunch of that is included in the
9	Choccolocco Corridor out there, which is actually
10	State land that has been leased by the Army.
11	The Refuge itself goes south and right
12	over to the bypass boundary and kind of occupies that,
13	and that is approximately I can't remember 2380
14	or whatever it was
15	MIKI SCHNEIDER: 2300.
16	SCOTT BOLTON: And JPA gave that to
17	Fish & Wildlife Services as well, so that took the
18	total refuge of Federal property, so you can forget
19	that eastern most square, if you will, the Choccolocco
20	Corridor, took the total Federal property to Fish &
21	Wildlife to a little over 10,000 acres.
22	MONTY CLENDENIN: And some of it's
23	open, and Charlie is still closed?

1	MIKI SCHNEIDER: Some of it is
2	closed, correct.
3	SCOTT BOLTON: Basically, the
4	eastern slope, if you will, that from Ridge Road east
5	is generally open.
6	MIKI SCHNEIDER: As a well, I
7	don't know if I could do this, but I'd like to be able
8	to take my JPA hat off and put it over here for a
9	second and jump over here as a property owner and
10	resident out here and say that as a resident out here,
11	I would want to see Charlie clean and opened so that
12	people can enjoy it.
13	One of the things that we had put in our
14	reuse plan was to look at some kind of equestrian
15	center up in the northern part. You know, what a
16	great place to be able to ride someday is up in this
17	area, so, you know, there is definitely a connection
18	between the two, and that's where you as citizens can
19	play a role for the Army to encourage them to get the
20	dollars, clean it up, work with Fish & Wildlife, but
21	it's a lot of land.
22	SCOTT BOLTON: I kind of made a note

for a future presentation. I will get with

23

1	Steve	Miller.	Steve	is	out	of	town	for	the	last

- 2 couple of weeks, and at some point, we can put that on
- 3 the list as to what the Fish & Wildlife Service -- you
- 4 can hear from the horse's mouth, so to speak, what
- 5 their priorities are and how they see things.
- 6 MIKI SCHNEIDER: But I encourage any
- of you to come to our program management committee
- 8 meetings, the JPA meetings, or marketing committees,
- 9 and if you can't get to the meetings, then call me,
- 10 and I'll be glad to sit down and talk with you and
- bring you up to speed on what's going on.
- 12 MONTY CLENDENIN: Show me where that
- 13 new walking trail from Bain's Gap up to the top of
- Ridge Line Road that cuts across is. There's a walk.
- 15 MIKI SCHNEIDER: Fish & Wildlife?
- 16 MONTY CLENDENIN: Yeah, that comes
- down the slope.
- 18 MIKI SCHNEIDER: Up by Mission
- 19 Ridge?
- MONTY CLENDENIN: Yeah. Up by --
- 21 well, it's up by --
- 22 MIKI SCHNEIDER: Hold on. I've got
- 23 to drive the road in my head.

- 2 Overlook.
- 3 SCOTT BOLTON: Mormon Overlook is
- 4 the one just north.
- 5 MIKI SCHNEIDER: Right. If I'm
- 6 correct, it's right in here (pointing).
- 7 SCOTT BOLTON: Go on up a little
- 8 bit. You were right the first time.
- 9 MONTY CLENDENIN: Going down to
- 10 Bain's Gap Road.
- 11 SCOTT BOLTON: You go up Bain's Gap,
- and then as you head up here, it's right up in this
- area, overlook maybe right there (pointing).
- 14 MIKI SCHNEIDER: It's not walking.
- 15 I mean, you can drive it.
- SCOTT BOLTON: Hasn't this group
- been out there before?
- 18 MONTY CLENDENIN: Well, I went out
- 19 there with a JSU group, and it was a foot path,
- 20 walking trail. They were going to put a little
- 21 ridge across the little --
- 22 MIKI SCHNEIDER: I haven't seen
- that.

1	SCOTT BOLTON: I don't know.
2	Obviously, we're pretty familiar with the Charlie Area
3	for a lot of reasons, and to my knowledge, they've not
4	installed anything. Most of the area to the east of
5	here because plain and simple, this is a very high
6	ridge line. Obviously, you got the No. 3 and 4
7	highest spots in the state here; Cheaha to the south
8	and Dugger Mountain to the north of us on that ridge
9	line. And unfortunately, you know, Fort McClellan
10	sits in this nice bowl. This is great for the
11	military, especially way back when.
12	DR. BARRY COX: You could shoot the
13	mountains.
14	SCOTT BOLTON: This is your
15	boundary. This becomes kind of your backstop, if you
16	will, and that's exactly how they looked at it many,
17	many years ago. We did a lot better job the last 30
18	years or so keeping track of everything, and that's
19	why right now this chunk of the refuge for the most
20	part is still not open to public access because that's

21

22

23

take place.

P.O. BOX 544
OHATCHEE, AL 36271
256-892-0591
FAX 256-892-3001

MIKI SCHNEIDER: Dr. Spain.

where the majority of the munitions clean up has to

1	DR. JOHN SPAIN: Before you sit
2	down, this really doesn't have anything to do with
3	this Board, but while you're up there, I certainly
4	would like to have an update for everyone's benefit on
5	the bypass.
6	DR. BARRY COX: Is that going to be
7	part of your regular presentation?
8	MIKI SCHNEIDER: No.
9	SCOTT BOLTON: That's actually part
10	of our presentation.
11	DR. JOHN SPAIN: The bypass?
12	SCOTT BOLTON: Well, at least I can
13	tell you what the status is going to be when we get to
14	ours.
15	DR. JOHN SPAIN: Are you going to
16	cover that tonight?
17	SCOTT BOLTON: Yes.
18	DR. JOHN SPAIN: Great. Thanks,
19	Miki.
20	MIKI SCHNEIDER: You're welcome.

21

22

23

P.O. BOX 544
OHATCHEE, AL 36271
256-892-0591
FAX 256-892-3001

we actually did have a program.

DR. BARRY COX: Let the record show

PENN WILSON: That was great.

1	Yahoo Lake, do you know anything about
2	it?
3	MIKI SCHNEIDER: Yes.
4	PENN WILSON: What's going on with
5	it?
6	MIKI SCHNEIDER: Yahoo Lake suffered
7	greatly in the drought this past summer. There was a
8	positive benefit from that standpoint for us. We were
9	able to go in and do a surface clearance on the bottom
10	of the lake and make sure that it was okay, and there
11	wasn't any ordinance because there's wonderful stories
12	out here about people kind of using the lake as a
13	target when they had ammunition they needed to get rid
14	of to see if they could hit it. But luckily, there
15	was we only found 60 anomalies, and there wasn't
16	any ordinance in the lake.
17	It is in our reuse plan specifically.
18	You could ask that question. That area is proposed as
19	like a village, a small commercial village around the
20	lake. It sits right down in this area (pointing), and
21	we're looking at doing a road off of Iron Mountain
22	Road one day that would go to the lake, but everything
23	else down in this area is proposed as residential.

T	Due	LO	aeep	libats,	aue	LO	LITE	environmentai	issues	

- that are out there, we have a lot of great habitat out
- 3 there that we have to protect, but the lake itself
- 4 is -- it's filling back up. I was down there last
- 5 Friday.
- 6 PENN WILSON: That's the best
- 7 information I've ever gotten on it. Thank you.
- 8 MIKI SCHNEIDER: You're welcome.
- 9 SCOTT BOLTON: The problem with
- 10 Yahoo is the spillway is bad, so functionally, you've
- 11 got a breached dam, even though it didn't breach the
- 12 levy itself, but where the -- the Army apparently made
- 13 the decision somewhere in time to just really not mess
- 14 with it because we knew we were closing, so that's one
- of the difficulties with it.
- I mean, I can recall, and I'm sure Penn
- was here, when that sucker was over 20 feet deep.
- 18 PENN WILSON: Yeah, I was here when
- 19 they built Yahoo Lake. I mean, I was stationed out
- 20 here and working out here.
- 21 SCOTT BOLTON: Some of us went to
- 22 scuba one time to measure the depth of it, and I was
- 23 surprised how deep it was. But, yeah, it's one of the

1	issues. The whatever you call it I don't know if
2	spillway is the right term. It probably isn't because
3	that doesn't have a spillway, but whatever the drain
4	is that empties it out has got problems.
5	DR. BARRY COX: Okay. Are we ready
6	now again for ADEM reports?
7	BRANDI LITTLE: Dare I say anything?
8	In your packet, the activities report
9	that we usually present. It just shows the Army
10	documents and the JPA documents and National Guard
11	documents that we've received since the last RAB
12	meeting, and there's a list of the last reviews that
13	we've completed, as well as meetings, site visits,
14	trainings, conference calls that we've participated in
15	as a group for McClellan.
16	I was asked at the last RAB meeting to
17	give more detail on some of our completed documents.
18	I must admit that these are not ones that I have
19	actually reviewed, but I have notes from the people
20	that have reviewed them. Let's see.
21	Just as an update from ADEM would be the
22	Munitions Response Site 3, which is being cleaned up
23	by Matrix. We sent a concurrence letter for the

1	action memorandum, and I believe it stated the same
2	thing that the documents stated, that the areas that
3	will be developed will be cleared to the maximum depth
4	of protection, and the park system areas, which won't
5	be developed, will be cleared to one foot, and land
6	use controls will be placed there. I believe we asked
7	for these note for residential risk areas, but
8	we've concurred with that document.
9	Jumping to two of the Army documents,
10	the T-24 Alfa ranges remedial investigations, which we
11	submitted over 100 comments on, and the major issues
12	appears to be that further delineation on groundwater
13	contamination, and sampling in other areas of concern,
14	like, Drum Storage Area and the Water and Oil
15	Separator.
16	DR. BARRY COX: So you said it's
17	over 100 comments concerns that you have
18	BRANDI LITTLE: Or page-specific
19	items.
20	DR. JOHN SPAIN: I don't think I
21	fully understand what those comments are associated

SCOTT BOLTON: Well, when we submit

22 with.

23

1	a document, for example, whatever work was done
2	there's a document on almost everything, but in this
3	case, as you do a remedial investigation, you go out
4	and you do your sampling, and then you analyze this,
5	and you come up with whatever your conclusions are so
6	on and so forth. What the typical process is: Then
7	we send it out to the regulatory community for
8	comments, and then so they will make their comments or
9	Fish & Wildlife will
10	DR. JOHN SPAIN: What would be an
11	example of
12	BRANDI LITTLE: And you've got to
13	understand, most of these are three or four big
14	volumes of information, and a lot of them will be
15	centered around the same issues.
16	SCOTT BOLTON: Sometimes it will be
17	something as benign as the text in this section I
18	mean, it gets this specific because you've got some
19	pretty smart people that get very, very detailed in
20	these things. It'll be something to the extent of,
21	you know, the text in Section 6.2 said blah, blah,
22	blah. Please clarify, we don't understand, or we
23	think it means this or the figure there's a

disconnect on the draft figure and what shows up	s up on
--	---------

- the data table. It can be things along those lines,
- or it can be even more substantive. It can say, hey,
- 4 we disagree with this methodology, or we disagree with
- 5 this analogy, then those obviously require far more
- 6 detailed resolution.
- 7 DR. BARRY COX: And I think that's
- 8 what we're more concerned with is where you think is
- 9 the major issues. Is this document available if the
- 10 RAB wanted to look at it?
- 11 BRANDI LITTLE: I think. I believe
- 12 it's --
- DR. BARRY COX: Do we have a copy of
- 14 it?
- 15 LISA HOLSTEIN: Of the document?
- DR. BARRY COX: Yes, of a list of
- the hundreds of comments.
- 18 LISA HOLSTEIN: No, but we can get
- 19 you a copy of it.
- 20 DR. BARRY COX: If anybody would be
- 21 interested --
- 22 SCOTT BOLTON: Well, we have to make
- 23 sure -- we do need to be careful because we do run

1	into unfortunately, we run into information issues
2	about draft documents, and that's one of the
3	difficulties sometimes that you have, so we'll have to
4	obviously I don't see any big problem with a lot of
5	people viewing it. I think it's the process.
6	We are not going to go out and these
7	documents are sometimes of thousands of pages, quite
8	frankly, the document that they review. A hundred
9	comments may turn out to be maybe 30 or 40 pages, but
10	I wanted to kind of put that caveat in there that the
11	Army is looking hard at a lot of different policies
12	about what goes out in the public view that they want
13	to be final documents.
14	DR. JOHN SPAIN: What I was hoping
15	to get, instead of the report saying 100 comments, was
16	synthesizing those things down to, here are the
17	substantive comments that we received.
18	DR. BARRY COX: In other words, what
19	are your top ten concerns over parcel X? Would that
20	be the type thing what do you see as a serious
21	issue?
22	BRANDI LITTLE: And that's what it

said, "further delineation of soil and groundwater

1	contamination," which apparently our risk assessors
2	don't like maybe the plume wasn't completely
3	contained in the sampling. I did not review them, so
4	I do not know, but that's usually what your soil and
5	groundwater contamination or extent of deviation is,
6	hey, we don't know if you went out far enough to get
7	it all.
8	And the other thing was asking for
9	additional sampling, or tell us why we don't need
10	additional sampling, and that's typically a lot of the
11	questions that we'll send on that nature as well, and
12	then I think it said it said, "We also have
13	comments on adult versus child, trespasser versus
14	recreational user screening limits were used for
15	future land use," which I think we have discussed
16	between the Army before, so that's
17	SCOTT BOLTON: One thing that would
18	also be helpful, and this would be some things that we
19	could also add to our programs as the list goes is
20	some of these processes to try to give people a feel
21	for just what we're talking about when we're talking
22	about risk assessment, what Brandi just indicated.
23	For example, I don't think ADEM

4		1.	1. 1.		
1	doesn't	nave	in-nouse	risk	assessment.

- BRANDI LITTLE: We don't.
- 3 SCOTT BOLTON: So they contract that
- 4 out, which someday on sidebar I'll be happy to give
- 5 you my commentary on some of the things I've done on
- 6 both sides of the fence, and that's why you get
- 7 comments many times. Sometimes you get them because
- 8 the guy just can't say, well, this looks okay.
- 9 Actually, Ron is one of the only ones I've seen make
- 10 comments like that when he'd review something. He'd
- say, yeah, it's okay, but a lot of times they'll come
- 12 up with a quote comment because they're being paid --
- as a result not been paid by the comments, but they
- 14 feel the need to demonstrate that they've reviewed the
- document in sufficient detail or whatever.
- I apologize to you, contractors and
- 17 consultants in the room, but nonetheless, one of the
- 18 big things that Brandi is looking at right now is that
- 19 there is disagreement among the risk assessors, and
- this can get fairly arcane.
- 21 As to the scenarios, you will come up
- 22 with -- there's guidance out there that has certain
- 23 kind of -- if you will -- in-the-box scenarios, and

1	you calculate values of chemical of concern and
2	exposure pathways and durations of exposure, and all
3	of those get fed into an algorithm, if you will, and
4	out spits a number, and there can be so the input
5	programmers are essentially what the dispute would be
6	over, as to the what does this mean in this
7	scenario, or what's the likelihood? And these get
8	fairly technical sometimes and get fairly detailed,
9	and that's one of the issues we have between the risk
10	assessors on both sides of the you know, some
11	things, it's just a communication issue, and those go
12	away.
13	DR. BARRY COX: Brandi, let's try it
14	from another approach. Could we ask your group to say
15	what are your top ten concerns, or take it another
16	way, what issues do you think the RAB should be aware
17	of?
18	BRANDI LITTLE: Overall?
19	DR. BARRY COX: Well, whatever the
20	documents you're reviewing are. If you're saying the
21	plume is going close the lake, and the Army doesn't
22	think it is. That's just making an example. If we
23	have a concern that the Army is not properly sampling

- 2 so, therefore, we have concern.
- I think that's what we're asking for as
- far a risk assessment goes. Would that --
- DR. JOHN SPAIN: It would. I'd also
- 6 like to get the legal action or the mitigation
- 7 strategy that might be taken on those particular
- 8 issues.
- 9 BRANDI LITTLE: Can you clarify that
- just a little bit?
- DR. JOHN SPAIN: Well, if you have a
- 12 problem with the way something is being done, what
- 13 kind of changing would you make in the process in
- methodology to deal with that.
- 15 If you have the top ten issues or you
- have the issues that are a concern to the RAB Board,
- 17 there's got to be -- are you not trying to move to
- 18 either mitigate that risk or to correct it, or isn't
- 19 there some kind of strategy, or am I missing the
- 20 nature of these concerns?
- 21 SCOTT BOLTON: Well, no. The
- 22 comments become addressed, you may have various kinds
- of dialog about them, and hopefully, you'll resolve

1 1	them.	Sometimes	one	party	will	say,	okay,	look,	we'll
-----	-------	-----------	-----	-------	------	------	-------	-------	-------

- agree to do this, to go sample here, whatever, just to
- 3 move it off the dime sometimes. Other times we may
- 4 agree to disagree.
- DR. JOHN SPAIN: Well, you might
- 6 agree to disagree, and somebody might agree to assume
- 7 the risk, but those are the types of things I would
- 8 certainly like to be aware of if somebody decided to
- 9 assume the risk on a certain issue, what is involved
- 10 there. There might be some issues that might not be
- 11 correctable that there are no solutions for.
- 12 DR. BARRY COX: Or it's land use
- 13 controls.
- 14 We apologize for us keeping on
- 15 interrupting you.
- BRANDI LITTLE: That's okay.
- I guess the other thing, and I think
- 18 Scott's going to address it later, the Iron Mountain
- 19 Road addition EE/CA.
- I believe we just sent our comment
- letter in for that, and that's, I guess, 11 additional
- acres that's going to be transferred to AL DOT.
- I don't even know if y'all have gotten

1	the	letter	yet.
---	-----	--------	------

- 2 LISA HOLSTEIN: We haven't. That
- 3 was good news.
- 4 BRANDI LITTLE: In that document, I
- 5 guess, the Army selected the construction support as
- 6 the response for that area, and our comments focus
- 7 largely on the need to provide -- you determine low
- 8 risk for MEC for this area, and we just wanted more
- 9 supporting information.
- 10 MIKI SCHNEIDER: Brandi, can I ask
- 11 what that means?
- 12 BRANDI LITTLE: What?
- 13 MIKI SCHNEIDER: More supporting
- information.
- 15 BRANDI LITTLE: I'm guessing Julie
- is asking for clarification on how they came to that
- 17 determination of the low MEC area -- low risk for MEC
- in that area.
- DR. BARRY COX: For what?
- 20 BRANDI LITTLE: Munitions and
- 21 explosives --
- 22 SCOTT BOLTON: If we can table that
- so Brandi can get through her part, I'll pick up on

1 tha	t when	we tal	k about	it.
-------	--------	--------	---------	-----

- 2 BRANDI LITTLE: The last two were
- 3 National Guard sites, Range J and Range K. We've
- 4 completed our review of the final feasibility study
- 5 for Range K. Both of these are located in Pelham
- 6 Range, and with respect to the feasibility study for
- 7 Range K, we concurred with National Guard's
- 8 recommendation for land use controls, monitored
- 9 natural attenuation, and anaerobic bioremediation.
- 10 They're going to do a groundwater injection in that
- 11 area to reduce the amount of carbonated chlorinated
- 12 volatiles in that area.
- 13 And then Range J, we have the final
- 14 feasibility study for that area, and it's in review
- 15 right now. The draft final, we requested comments on
- 16 requesting more detailed discussion regarding the
- degradation of tetrachloride, chloroform, and TCE, so
- 18 that was the chemicals of concern in that area, and
- 19 that's all I have.
- DR. BARRY COX: Okay. Any comments,
- 21 ladies and gentlemen?
- We certainly appreciate your report.
- BRANDI LITTLE: Thank you.

1	DR. BARRY COX: Now, I guess we can
2	go to of course, EPA is not with us.
3	Would the group like to is there any
4	reason for the group to suggest again that EPA attend
5	our meetings? We've done that in the past, and
6	BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: Yes, sir, a few
7	times.
8	DR. BARRY COX: We've done that a
9	few times.
10	DR. JOHN SPAIN: What might be a
11	possibility is for us to request for them to attend a
12	meeting at least once per year and give a address
13	any sort of anything that's on their mind or
14	findings that they might have had or any issues. I
15	don't think they've ever been here since I've been
16	coming.
17	SCOTT BOLTON: Probably not.
18	DR. MARY HARRINGTON: How long have
19	you been coming?
20	DR. JOHN SPAIN: A little over a
21	year.

BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: He hasn't been

22

23 here since July of 2003.

1	DR. JOHN SPAIN: Have we missed
2	them?
3	DR. BARRY COX: I think Doyle is
4	fairly informative when he's here. He was relatively
5	graphic with his comments and his concerns.
6	DR. MARY HARRINGTON: That's mildly
7	put.
8	MIKI SCHNEIDER: The question is:
9	What connection does EPA have?
10	DR. BARRY COX: Their name is still
11	on here. That's the only reason
12	SCOTT BOLTON: Bear in mind that
13	this kind of goes with what I mentioned earlier, some
14	of these things, like, the fact of the RAB 101, maybe
15	some presentations on the process, what happens. The
16	Army piece of the clean up is done under CERCLA. And
17	under CERCLA authority, under an executive order, the
18	Department of Defense is the lead agent on the CERCLA
19	non-MPL, in other words, that aren't superfund sites.
20	That's kind of the Reader's Digest version of it.
21	So we're very concerned, obviously, with
22	the State input because obviously, the State's
23	property and so on, within the bounds to the State,

1	but	less	so	because	we've	already	got	the	federal	lead
---	-----	------	----	---------	-------	---------	-----	-----	---------	------

- 2 agent that's laid out there.
- 3 As far as JPA goes, they actually do
- 4 their clean up under State RCRA authority, Resource
- 5 Conservation and Recovery Act Authority. So ADEM is
- 6 their oversight, and EPA, they don't even come to the
- 7 table at all, do they?
- 8 MIKI SCHNEIDER: No.
- 9 SCOTT BOLTON: -- at all, so there
- is my, sort of, the role of the EPA.
- DR. JOHN SPAIN: Do they have a
- sign-off role with respect to any kind of transfer?
- SCOTT BOLTON: No. We seek
- 14 concurrence, but approval is not required in this
- instance, at least it's not on the Federal side. You
- don't even need concurrence on the JPA side.
- 17 MIKI SCHNEIDER: No.
- 18 SCOTT BOLTON: ADEM is the oversight
- 19 agency for their work, and they do it under RCRA.
- 20 BRANDI LITTLE: They don't do UXO,
- 21 either.
- 22 SCOTT BOLTON: That's the other
- 23 thing is that EPA -- if you'll look at these clean-up

1	programs, they're divided into what we now call MMRP
2	and IRP essentially. MMRP being Military Munitions
3	Response Program, UXO, unexploded ordinance, okay,
4	munitions out there. IRP would be HTRW, hazardous
5	toxic radiological waste, more conventional hazardous
6	waste clean ups, and typically, it's like peeling an
7	onion. Anytime you've got the UXO MMRP contamination,
8	and MEC is the other term, munitions explosives
9	constituents. Anytime you have those, obviously
10	you've got to take care of that before you can take
11	care of the safety hazard first.
12	And so EPA does not get involved in the
13	MMRP side because these aren't regulated under CERCLA
14	RCRA or anything else.
15	DR. JOHN SPAIN: Well, it sounds
16	like they have no interest in being here, and it also
17	sounds
18	SCOTT BOLTON: You'd have to ask
19	EPA.
20	DR. JOHN SPAIN: Well, it seems like
21	I can sort of put that together with no attendances
22	since 2003 that that's a pretty good indicator that

23 they --

1	SCOTT BOLTON: Any inferences you
2	draw are your own.
3	DR. JOHN SPAIN: Well, if they have
4	no interest in being here, and they are not essential
5	to what we are trying to do, it would seem that we
6	have little need of their input.
7	DR. BARRY COX: Okay.
8	Anybody have any the group as a whole
9	is not concerned that EPA doesn't come to the
10	meetings?
11	SCOTT BOLTON: We can certainly
12	bring it up again to them.
13	DR. BARRY COX: Just tell them it
14	was a topic of discussion, that we would like them to
15	at least comment back as to what they perceive their
16	role or lack thereof is.
17	Okay. Well, that turns our short
18	meeting into a long one. We'll go next in line to the
19	JPA update.
20	MICHELLE KLOMP: Well, you have your

JPA update there. It covers the activities from

January through March of this year, and just scream if

21

22

23

you have questions.

1	The Industrial Landfill and Landfill 4
2	are two adjacent properties up in the northern area.
3	The Industrial Landfill is the only active landfill on
4	the property. It takes construction and demolition
5	debris. Landfill 4 is a capped landfill that is next
6	to it.
7	We have routine monitoring that we do
8	with these sites; one of them is a soil gas report
9	that was submitted to ADEM, and we also do a surface
10	water sampling.
11	MIKI SCHNEIDER: That's Landfill 3
12	(pointing).
13	MICHELLE KLOMP: Then we have
14	several sites that are landfills and fill areas that
15	have a no further action status. These are landfills
16	and fill areas that were closed by the Army years ago.
17	They are called legacy landfills, and we are just
18	doing cap maintenance and repair, monitoring at these
19	sites.
20	So these are Landfill 1, Landfill 2, the
21	garbage dump and fill area east of Riley, and fill
22	area north of Landfill 2.

23

Landfill 1, the cap maintenance and

1	repair activities were completed last year. We just
2	finished our annual inspection on it. Garbage dump
3	and fill area east of Riley up at the north end are
4	undergoing their capping and repair right now.
5	They're almost complete.
6	And Landfill 2, we are working on
7	mobilizing that site. We should have our survey crews
8	in the field this week or next week to start that
9	activity there, and that just consists of walking the
10	sites, flagging the areas where the landfill cap is
11	less than it should be, coming back in and fixing it,
12	and then we reseed and keep an eye on it for a couple
13	of years, make sure it's doing okay.
14	I think we've covered a lot of Landfill
15	3 and the fill area northwest of Riley based on what
16	Miki had already talked about. The cap activities
17	have essentially been complete. We do have a few
18	punch-list items remaining on that. And as far as the
19	groundwater goes, we're meeting with Brandi and her
20	folks tomorrow to go over some alternatives for that
21	property.
22	Chemical laundry is a small solvent site

in the center of the base, and what we have there is

23

1 small -- low concentrations of solvents in

2	groundwater, and we have ideal conditions for
3	monitored natural attenuations, so that's what we're
4	doing.
5	This past quarter well, in December,
6	we collected our December sampling event, and a few
7	weeks ago we received comments from ADEM on our 2007
8	Corrective Measures Effectiveness Report. So we are
9	addressing the comments on the June report, and we are
10	incorporating similar changes to the December report
11	so that both reports will be up to snuff with ADEM.
12	Those should be going out in a few weeks.
13	The next three sites I'm going to do are
14	sort of lumped into one. They're the Base Service
15	Station, Motor Pool 3100, and Soldier's Chapel and
16	Wash Rack. All three of these sites are detects for
17	groundwater. They are very, very low levels of these
18	constituents, and what we have requested from ADEM on
19	all three of these sites is a no further action with a
20	land use control prohibiting use of groundwater at
21	those sites, and we we've received comments on two
22	of those recently, and we're working on the response
23	of the comments, and on the third one, we've just

1	submitted our response to comments.
2	Small Weapons Repair Shop is another
3	solvent and groundwater site. We've completed the
4	soil stabilization portion of that site, and we are
5	currently working on a plan of attack on the
6	groundwater at that site. We have a corrective
7	measure implementation that has been approved or
8	acknowledged by ADEM, would be a better word, and we
9	are working on implementing a corrective measure at
10	that site.
11	Range 30, that's up in the northern
11 12	Range 30, that's up in the northern property. It's a site where we have essentially what
12	property. It's a site where we have essentially what
12	property. It's a site where we have essentially what can best be defined as bullet contamination, and we've
12 13 14	property. It's a site where we have essentially what can best be defined as bullet contamination, and we've been going back and forth with ADEM for a while on the
12 13 14 15	property. It's a site where we have essentially what can best be defined as bullet contamination, and we've been going back and forth with ADEM for a while on the best way to approach that property. We are working on
12 13 14 15 16	property. It's a site where we have essentially what can best be defined as bullet contamination, and we've been going back and forth with ADEM for a while on the best way to approach that property. We are working on a response to comments on the RCRA Facility
12 13 14 15 16 17	property. It's a site where we have essentially what can best be defined as bullet contamination, and we've been going back and forth with ADEM for a while on the best way to approach that property. We are working on a response to comments on the RCRA Facility Investigation for that site.

21

22

23

P.O. BOX 544
OHATCHEE, AL 36271
256-892-0591
FAX 256-892-3001

It was a draft version of the report. A few weeks

proposed for that site.

later, we met with ADEM and talked about what we had

1	One of the things that we had proposed
2	was a soil vapor extraction and air sparging
3	technology, which is essentially pushing a bunch of
4	air into the groundwater and into the soil and then
5	sucking it back out and seeing what contaminates we
6	could get out that way.
7	We conducted a pilot study over there
8	about two weeks ago just to take it for a test drive
9	and see if it would actually be a viable opportunity
10	up there, and the preliminary results are looking very
11	good, and so we're moving forward for a design on that
12	system, and of course anxiously awaiting ADEM's
13	comments on the draft version of the report.
14	BRANDI LITTLE: It went out today.
15	I'll tell them to bring a copy tomorrow.
16	MICHELLE KLOMP: Okay. Thanks.
17	The T-38 is a site that is very similar
18	to T-6 only on a much grander scale. We are currently
19	working on the draft CMIP for that report, and it will
20	go out within the next few months.
21	Alfa Area and MRS-1 are two of the
22	munitions response sites that we've been working on
23	for quite some time. We are working on the after

1	action report for the southern Alfa Area and MRS-1,
2	which were both essentially completed about a year
3	ago. That was two properties that were cleared so
4	that we could open Bain's Gap Road. So we're just
5	finishing up the final documentation for those two
6	sites.
7	MRS-2 and MRS-3 are two of the munition
8	and explosives concern sites that we are actively
9	working on. They are around the right of way for the
10	Eastern Bypass and Iron Mountain Road, and the latest
11	numbers I had is that we have removed 24 tons of
12	nonmunitions scrap from the ground and 14 tons of
13	munitions scrap, and that was in the first 6 inches of
14	MRS-2. We are not complete with the first six inches
15	of MRS-2, and we still have a good amount of clearance
16	to depth to do. It's a good indication of why we try
17	to ask people to please stay in the areas that are
18	only open to the public.
19	Which brings us to land use controls and
20	security. We submitted our Land Use Controls
21	Effectiveness Report this quarter, and our security
22	patrols are definitely earning their keep.

DR. MARY HARRINGTON: What did you

23

1 say?	They are	definitely	what?
--------	----------	------------	-------

- 2 MICHELLE KLOMP: They're earning
- 3 their keep.
- 4 SCOTT BOLTON: They're going to earn
- 5 it all summer.
- 6 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: You still have
- 7 people that just don't pay attention. I came in over
- 8 Bain's Gap a couple of weeks ago, and I said to
- 9 myself, those people are not supposed to be out there.
- MICHELLE KLOMP: We have numbers you
- 11 can call if you want a list.
- 12 SCOTT BOLTON: We had our DOD police
- 13 sitting at a barrier one time while a gal was lifting
- her bicycle over the sign that said "do not enter",
- and he said, well, what are you going to do? She
- 16 said, well, I'm going to ride my bike. I don't think
- 17 so. He even called me and said, will you come out
- 18 here. This is a couple of years ago before I went to
- 19 Tennessee.
- I said, what are you doing? She said,
- 21 I'm going to ride my bike. She was very angry that we
- 22 were -- you know, she was in a hurry. And we finally
- just said, well, you're about to go in handcuffs and

1	h	- lo -	al	+ lo +		T		- lo -	
Τ	nave	tne	aarn	rumg	confiscated.	Just	read	tne	sign

- 2 and get out of here. It was just like -- I'm not sure
- 3 who she thought those signs applied to, but it's
- 4 interesting.
- 5 We've found some interesting public
- 6 figures as well, so they'll earn their keep. No doubt
- 7 about it.
- 8 DR. JOHN SPAIN: So are you
- 9 arresting or --
- 10 SCOTT BOLTON: It's gotten a lot
- 11 better, but in the early days, we had some. It
- 12 becomes a citable offense. It's not -- it was just
- 13 Army jurisdiction. Federal jurisdiction, the way you
- had to do it, you had to prosecute through the Federal
- 15 magistrate, and we had an agreement with Anniston Army
- Depot that actually picked up that slack with the
- 17 ability to do it on the installation. Now it's kind
- 18 of moved because Fish & Wildlife has their own law
- 19 enforcement in the area that has arresting authority,
- 20 so --
- DR. BARRY COX: Okay.
- We'll go ahead with the Army Action
- 23 Summary.

1	SCOTT BOLTON: Again, we've broken
2	our things up into the two basic response programs,
3	MMRP is the munitions. The Eastern Bypass, the Iron
4	Mountain Road addition, this is an area that's right
5	there (pointing). This is Tract 1. This area here
6	and the area surrounding it and to include some of the
7	area up here, we view as clean from various
8	investigations.
9	The 10 acres that we're talking about
10	that we think was 11 we reviewed the documents, and
11	it was, like, 10.07 or something is basically right
12	in here. Basically, if you look over here, it's that
13	area that connects into the significance of it is
14	that it's essentially the exit ramp into JPA's
15	Industrial Access Road, and we're doing a stand alone
16	EE/CA on that property now, engineering evaluation
17	cost analysis.
18	The issue that came about, when I first
19	got back to Fort McClellan, we went down and met with
20	ADEM, and said, hey, we want a no further action on
21	this parcel, on this property and surrounding property
22	based on and they came back and said, oh, no, no,
23	no. We think there should be a clearance to depth.

1	There was some confusion in language in
2	various reports, okay, and the problem you have is the
3	Bravo area is 3,000 acres, and somebody might write
4	something in the report that pertains to that area
5	here (pointing) but across three mountains and a mile
6	is something different.
7	So some of those things got worked out
8	as well. We reviewed a lot of data with them. There
9	was also some confusion as to who got what data when.
10	There was changes in consultants of contractors on
11	both sides, and it just really was a mess, and we're
12	sorting it out as we speak.
13	So basically the last request was that
14	they wanted some additional sampling information. We
15	done transects. We came back in a second time and did
16	digital transects and grids and so forth, and we've
17	presented all that in the EE/CA, and I think that
18	we'll get that resolved.
19	Our recommendation essentially is to do
20	on-site construction support. Quite frankly, our
21	position is that we couldn't do a clearance to depth
22	because there's nothing to clear. So what we're
23	trying to do is meet everyone's needs there, and also,

1	don't forget, there's policy requirements to do
2	anything. You know, I've got to have a reason for
3	what we do, not just because we want to do it and can
4	get the money. So what we've proposed is on-site
5	construction support where our people will be there
6	when AL DOT actually does whatever it is they do,
7	they'll be some degree of cut and be a lot of fill as
8	well. It kind of drops off steeply where it connects
9	into their proposed industrial road. So we're hoping
10	that will be off the table soon and get that resolved
11	In the Charlie EE/CA area, there's a
12	major revision that's going to go on in the EE/CA. It
13	revolves around this 8600 acre area. One of the
14	things we did is we met with ADEM and their
15	consultants, and we've identified areas where we felt
16	like there were data gaps, and in some areas we agree
17	others we didn't, and we're in the process of
18	finalizing the agreements on that to what areas we're
19	going to go do additional sampling, and then we'll
20	probably do additional sampling to fill in those data
21	gaps, and at that point, we'll have at least a
22	regulatory concurrence on that. We'll also have to
23	get Fish & Wildlife concurrence as well.

1	Just so you know of some future things
2	that are going on I'm not going to look at Lisa
3	because she'll want to choke me but Congress
4	awarded some additional money to the Army or I guess
5	to the DOD. I'm not exactly sure how it filtered
6	down, so we were the recipients to some of that
7	somewhat unexpectedly. And we have gotten the Fish &
8	Wildlife Fish & Wildlife has a priority scheme for
9	what areas they want cleared, what their high-use
10	areas are going to be and so on.
11	So anything we do in the Charlie Area,
12	we'll coordinate with them and bump that up because
13	some of the stuff is actually set up in agreement
14	between the Army and Department of Interior, so we
15	have received some additional funding, so we're going
16	to do some work in the 24 Alfa Area.
17	The reason we didn't we were hoping
18	it would come up. Since we actually got the money
19	sent down to the Corp, we were in the contracting
20	process and developing the scope and all those kinds
21	of things now. We felt a little more comfortable to
22	mention it today. We didn't have the money in hand.
23	We've learned from bitter past experiences that if you

1	don't have the money in hand, don't think you're going
2	to necessarily do it because money is tight. So that
3	was good news to us and good news to Fish & Wildlife,
4	so we'll get some other work there.
5	On the IRP side, as you can read,
6	there's a listing of the various remedial
7	investigations. That's one of our big priorities is
8	to try and get these things closed out, so we can move
9	on to the feasibility study and go from there.
10	One of the issues that we do run into
11	sometimes is the Fish & Wildlife Service has a real
12	limited number of personnel with the expertise to
13	review documents and so on, and obviously, they're a
14	major stakeholder. We've got a lot of things through
15	recently, so things are looking up along those lines.
16	Basically, the Army still owns almost
17	312 acres, and the majority of it is tied up here in
18	what's Eastern Bypass, Tract 3. We're ready to go.
19	We did a Finding of Suitability to Transfer. We're
20	waiting on AL DOT's comments for that FOST and have
21	been for a while. We periodically push them on it.
22	As soon as they do that, we're ready to go in and give
23	them the deeds.

1	DR. JOHN SPAIN: I guess the
2	question I have on that is: Why would the RAB not be
3	concerned about that area if it's still owned under
4	Army?
5	SCOTT BOLTON: I'm not sure why you
6	would say you wouldn't be concerned. Who said
7	DR. JOHN SPAIN: Well, I thought we
8	said earlier that we were only concerned with the
9	Charlie Area.
10	SCOTT BOLTON: Well, you can be
11	concerned about that, and it's not meant as a flippant
12	response, but that property has already been cleared,
13	cleared to depth and so on, and when we do a Finding
14	of Suitability to Transfer, the implication of that is
15	that it's clean property and ready to be transferred.
16	I should have explained that.
17	So anytime you hear the term "FOST",
18	when we're speaking in those terms, the implication is
19	that this is property that was either clean to start
20	with or the remediation is complete. You may have
21	land use control or something, but it's ready to be
22	transferred and used. But that's the status of it,
23	and we're waiting on the AL DOT comments on the FOST

1 :	itself.	Ι	can't	address	really	what	the	hang	up	there
-----	---------	---	-------	---------	--------	------	-----	------	----	-------

- is. I suspect when they get funding to do it, all of
- a sudden we'll get comments in a hurry, and they'll be
- 4 wanting deeds yesterday, but that is clean property
- 5 and has been. The work has been done for quite a
- 6 while now.
- 7 Some of the other remaining Army
- 8 property we have is the 10 acres we talked about, the
- 9 Iron Mountain Road addition. There's 23, 24 acres in
- 10 the north and several water tank sites that Jim,
- 11 Phillip, and the others from the Water Works Board had
- 12 set up, and the deeds are being prepared for those
- 13 with the exception of one less than an acre, almost an
- 14 acre site, which is sort of captured by one of the JPA
- 15 removal actions. They have to do some work on Range
- 16 29, and the footprint of that particular water site is
- 17 at Range 29, so it's -- that's held hostage, so that
- 18 will be a while on that particular one-acre site.
- 19 The other property we have remaining is
- 20 approximately 11 acres that runs up Highway 21.
- 21 Basically, look at the eastern most lanes, turns out
- those were Army property.
- What they did back in the day was to

1	grant easements as opposed to doing fee-simple
2	transfer, whatever. So when you take a couple of
3	miles by however wide, you get some acreage out of it.
4	One of the issues, AL DOT has had the easement, and so
5	it's not an issue on their use of it, so forth and so
6	on, but the Landfill 3 Area, the groundwater remedy
7	that the JPA is involved with the impact, and so when
8	they get this remedy in place and get a grip on the
9	groundwater, at that point, we'll be able to transfer
10	for that property. And I can't remember what the
11	timelines was, what, 20, 14, or something like that to
12	get to RCRA? I don't remember.
13	MIKI SCHNEIDER: How do we relate to
14	that? How do we prevent you from transferring?
15	SCOTT BOLTON: The word that I got,
16	it's got to be at RIP for us to go ahead and do the
17	transfer.
18	MIKI SCHNEIDER: It's got to be at
19	what?
20	SCOTT BOLTON: Remedy in place for
21	the groundwater remedy, because I think your land use
22	controls and all of that will also be part of your
23	remedy.

1	MIKI SCHNEIDER: The cap is on?
2	SCOTT BOLTON: The cap is on,
3	correct.
4	Are you doing anything active, or are
5	you just doing MNA on the groundwater?
6	MIKI SCHNEIDER: Active.
7	SCOTT BOLTON: That's what I
8	thought, so you don't have an OPS or whatever the RCRA
9	see, once you're probably OPS, you can probably
10	declare RIP, and then
11	STEVE YOUNG: I'm just not sure
12	why
13	SCOTT BOLTON: I'll double check and
14	make sure that's
15	STEVE YOUNG: All the rest of the
16	property
17	SCOTT BOLTON: I'm not arguing that.
18	But you know, once the land use controls go into
19	place, and if you've already got them in place
20	STEVE YOUNG: I just don't know why
21	all the other property was okay for a transfer, and we
22	missed that piece. Surely we could just tag it on
23	some place.

1	LISA HOLSTEIN: Well, it's not
2	transferring to you.
3	SCOTT BOLTON: It's not transferred
4	to you. It's transferring to AL DOT, and they've
5	already got the easement. It's an AL DOT transfer, so
6	it wasn't part of the early transfer to JPA.
7	And the other thing is: Don't forget,
8	it goes as a PBC to a Federal Highway well,
9	actually, we can do direct. The Federal Highway gave
10	the Army permission to go direct. When you transfer
11	property as a public benefit conveyance, it goes to
12	the Associated Federal Agency, which adds a layer of
13	complication, so Anniston Parks and Recreation, it
14	went through the Department of the park service, so
15	it adds a layer of complication unfortunately. So
16	that's essentially it, as far as the properties go.
17	Let me see if I've missed anything else
18	here. I think that's about it for us this evening.
19	DR. BARRY COX: Any comments, ladies
20	and gentlemen?
21	I believe we've already covered the last
22	topic, which was upcoming programs. Does anybody want
23	any clarification on that?

1	DR. MARY HARRINGTON: No.
2	SCOTT BOLTON: By all means, anybody
3	that's got ideas, send them in to us, and we'll
4	certainly go over the list again at the next meeting,
5	but I guess we'll be prepared to do some kind of RAB
6	101, along those lines at the next RAB meeting if
7	everybody's in agreement with that.
8	LISA HOLSTEIN: Can I make a
9	suggestion?
10	DR. BARRY COX: Sure.
11	LISA HOLSTEIN: If you want to take
12	a tour, while we still have daylight three months from
13	now, that might be your best option, or else you'll
14	have to wait another year for a tour.
15	DR. BARRY COX: So we can do that,
16	and then move RAB 101 issues along with that? So
17	we're looking at a tour for the
18	DR. JOHN SPAIN: I would certainly
19	like a tour.
20	SCOTT BOLTON: Where do you want a
21	tour?
22	MONTY CLENDENIN: Landfill 3 at

least.

1	DR. JOHN SPAIN: Riley Lake. Are we
2	adding fishing poles or
3	MONTY CLENDENIN: If the Iron
4	Mountain drive over to the bypass is available to us,
5	I'd like to do that like JPA
6	MIKI SCHNEIDER: We can do that. Do
7	you want to see any of the interior sites that we've
8	done work on, like, Landfill 1 that now has a cap or
9	you know the sites where we're tracing groundwater
10	plumes, all you're going to really look at is trees
11	and maybe a dead building.
12	MICHELLE KLOMP: Wells.
13	DR. BARRY COX: Let's put Lisa in
14	charge of setting the itinerary for the
15	LISA HOLSTEIN: I'll work with Miki
16	on it.
17	DR. BARRY COX: So we have a plan.
18	Any audience commence?
19	Ron, you have a word of wisdom for us?
20	RON GRANT: No.
21	DR. BARRY COX: Okay.
22	Do I hear a motion for adjournment?
23	DR. MARY HARRINGTON: I move we

NOBLE & ASSOCIATES

1	adjourn.								
2			DR.	BARRY	cox:	Do I l	nave a	seco	nd?
3			PEN	N WILSO	on: s	econd.			
4			DR.	BARRY	cox:	All i	n favor	of	the
5	motion?	We are	adjou	rned.					
6				00	o				
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF ALABAMA)
3	CALHOUN COUNTY)
4	
5	I, KELLI K. LOWERY, a Certified
6	Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for The State
7	of Alabama at Large, duly commissioned and qualified,
8	HEREBY CERTIFY that this proceeding was taken before
9	me, then was by me reduced to shorthand, afterwards
10	transcribed upon a computer, and that the foregoing is
11	a true and correct transcript of the proceeding to the
12	best of my ability.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY this proceeding
14	was taken at the time and place and was concluded
15	without adjournment.
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
17	hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal at Anniston,
18	Alabama, on this the 28th of April, 2008.
19	
20	KELLI K. LOWERY
21	Notary Public in and for
22	Alabama at Large
23	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 09-15-2009.